

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,020	09/21/2001	Christopher McDowell	COD-133 7026		
27777	7590 04/09/2003				
AUDLEY A.	CIAMPORCERO JR.	EXAMINER			
JOHNSON &		PICKETT, JOHN G			
	ON & JOHNSON PLAZA				
NEW BRUINS	SWICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728	χ	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					/Υ		
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s) MCDOWELL, CHRISTOPHER			
		09/960,02	0				
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gregory P		3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	September :	<u> 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	equirement.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
,	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1			

Application/Control Number: 09/960,020

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following minor informalities: Page 6, line 2, "is frangible connected" is grammatically incorrect.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jewusiak et al (US 4,294,355).

Regarding claim 1, Jewusiak et al discloses a tray (10) for holding a plurality of surgical fasteners (30), base (11), two wells (channels defined by walls 14, 15, 16, and 17), and film (12). Jewusiak et al functions as claimed by the applicant.

As to claims 2-4, Jewusiak et al discloses weakness lines (22, 23) created by scoring or perforating the film (Col. 2, II. 62-68). By definition, perforation creates an opening in the film.

As to claim 6, Jewusiak et al discloses ingress means (22, 23).

Art Unit: 3728

As to claim 7, the tray and fasteners of Jewusiak et al are sterile (Col. 4, II. 14-16).

As to claim 8, the tray of Jewusiak et al meets the method claimed by the applicant by presentation.

As to claim 9, Jewusiak et al discloses penetrating the film by an instrument (Col. 3, II. 27-36).

As to claims 10-13, Jewusiak et al discloses weakness lines (22, 23) created by scoring or perforating the film (Col. 2, II. 62-68). By definition, perforation creates an opening in the film.

As to claim 15, the tray and fasteners of Jewusiak et al are sterile (Col. 4, II. 14-16).

As to claim 16, the instrument of Jewusiak et al is a forceps-type clip applier (Col. 3, II. 27-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/960,020

Art Unit: 3728

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jewusiak et al in view of Olsen (US 4,190,153).

Jewusiak et al discloses a tray as applied to claims 4 and 13 above. Jewusiak et al meets all limitations claimed by the applicant except:

Jewusiak et al does not disclose openings that are slits.

Olsen discloses a tray for a surgical procedure with slits (26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tray of Jewusiak et al with slits as taught by Olsen in order to more easily allow the passage of the surgical fastener applicator.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 703-305-8321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,020

Art Unit: 3728

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

100

Gregory Pickett Examiner April 6, 2003

> Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700